

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/023,424	12/12/2001	John J. Hart III	ECD-0004	2326	
75	90 01/28/2005		EXAM	INER	
MILLS & ONELLO LLP			DINH, TAN X		
Suite 605 Eleven Beacon	Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Boston, MA 02108			2653	2653	
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/200	DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Art Unit 10023,424		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
## Examiner	Advisorv Action	10/023,424	HART ET AL.					
THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)	,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complication with 37 CFR 1.114. **PERIOD FOR REPLY** (check either a) or b) **PERIOD FOR		TAN X. DINH	2653					
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the malling date of the Africary Actino, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the malling date of the Africary Actino, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. ONLY OFICKT THIS 80 WHEN THE TERTS REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS for The FINAL REPLETION. See MPEP OFICER THIS 80 WHEN THE THIS ACTION THE NOTION THE FINAL REPLETION. See MPEP OFICE ACTION ONLY OFICKT THIS 80 WHEN THE THIS ACTION THE ACTION ONLY OFICKT THIS 80 WHEN THE THIS ACTION THE ACTION ONLY OFICKT THIS 80 WHEN THE THIS ACTION THE ACTION ONLY OFICKT THIS 80 WHEN THE THIS ACTION THE ACTION ONLY OFICKT THIS 80 WHEN THE THIS ACTION ONLY OF THIS ACTION ONLY OF THE ACTION ONLY OF THIS ACTION ONLY OF THIS ACTION ONLY OF THE ACTION ONLY OF THIS ACTION ONL	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the statutory period for reply experies than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEAT THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP DONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEAT THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP DONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEAT THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP DONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEAT THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP DONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEAT THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP DONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEAT THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TOW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP DONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEAT THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TOW MONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TOW MONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TOW MONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TOW MONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TOW MONTHS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. Whichever is later. In no event, however, with setatutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 705.07(n). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.176(a) is saluctated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (0) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any semed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.09(a). A Notice of Appeal was filed on	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
(a)								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _See the letter attached herein. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _1-55. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: _56-63. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) TAN Xuan DINH Primary Examiner	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(c)	(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
issues for appeal; and/or (d)	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
NOTE: 3.								
 3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _See the letter attached herein. 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _1-55. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. □ The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) TAN Xuan DINH Primary Examiner. 	(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See the letter attached herein. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-55. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 56-63. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) TAN Xuan DINH Primary Examiner	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
application in condition for allowance because: See the letter attached herein. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-55. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 56-63. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) TAN Xuan DINH Primary Examiner								
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-55. Claim(s) objected to: □ Claim(s) rejected: 56-63. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: □ Section approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). □ TAN Xuan DINH Primary Examiner.	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See the letter attached herein.							
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _1-55. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: _56-63. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.								
Claim(s) allowed: 1-55. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 56-63. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>56-63</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>56-63</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-55</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	Claim(s) objected to:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: TAN Xuan DINH Primary Examiner	Claim(s) rejected: <u>56-63</u> .							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: TAN Xuan DINH Primary Examiner	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
10. Other: TAN Xuan DINH Primary Examiner	8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
10. Other: TAN Xuan DINH Primary Examiner	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
Primary Examiner	·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	— 741					
			Primary Examiner					

Art Unit: 2653

1) Applicant's arguments filed 1/13/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

First, the IDS filed 3/25/2003 was not exist in this application which makes the Examiner cannot consider this IDS.

Second, the IDS filed 5/10/2004 has been considered by the Examiner, form PTO-1449 has been attached on last Office action.

Third, figure 4A,4B and 4C in this instant application did not show the feature of "distortion region is formed at the data layer" as claimed in claims 56-63. On these figures, distortion regions 22 and 26 are formed on media 23,28 or 31, not formed at data layer as claimed. Further lay 9 in figure 2 and layer 15 and 16 in figure 3 do not contain any distortion region as applicant's argue. Therefore, this feature, as now claimed in claims 56-63, is considered to be new matter.

2) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAN X. DINH whose telephone number is (703) 308-4859. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00AM - 5:30PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Art Unit: 2653

receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

TAN DINH
PRIMARY EXAMINER
January 26, 2005